

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/015,564 | 12/17/2001 | Takaaki Kutsuna | 011709 | 6229 |
| 23850 7590 03/07/2007 ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP 1725 K STREET, NW SUITE 1000 WASHINGTON, DC 20006 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | SELLERS, ROBERT E | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1712 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 03/07/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Advisory Action | | | | |
|-----------------|-------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Before | the Filing of an Appeal Brief | | | |

| Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|-----------------|----------------|
| 10/015,564 | KUTSUNA ET AL. |
| Examiner | Art Unit |
| Robert Sellers | 1712 |

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 12 February 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). **NOTICE OF APPEAL** The Notice of Appeal was filed on ___ . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. 🔀 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) X They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal: and/or (d) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: New claims 59-68 added; no claims cancelled. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>26,28,30 and 48-58</u>. Claim(s) objected to: ____.
Claim(s) rejected: 31-33 and 38-47. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: ____ AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. A The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11.

The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See the attachment.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

13. 🔲 Other: ___

Robert Sellers **Primary Examiner** Art Unit: 1712

Application/Control Number: 10/015,564 Page 2

Art Unit: 1712

1. The amendment after Final rejection filed February 12, 2007 has been denied entry because new independent claims 59-68 have been added without the cancellation of a corresponding number of ten finally rejected claims. Although page 28 in the Remarks section describes general support for the new claims, there is no explanation why it is necessary to introduce ten new independent claims. There is no revelation of the distinction between such closely associated claim language and subject matter.

2. Independent claims 26, 34 and 48 are not confined to the amine curing agent "consisting of" the reactants as in independent claims 31, 38 and new claims 59-68. The presence of two sets of claims wherein one set is open to the presence of other materials and the other set is closed thereto raises new issues requiring further consideration and/or searches, and does not materially reduce or simplify the issues.

Application/Control Number: 10/015,564 Page 3

Art Unit: 1712

3. The declaration filed February 12, 2007 has not been entered since it addresses the criticality of the reactants for the amine curing agent amended by the language "consisting of" in independent claims 31 and 38 which has not been entered. Even if the declaration is considered, Comparative Example 9 on page 3-4 is not reflective of the closest prior art curing agent of Japanese Patent No. 8-104738 represented by Example 13 in Table 2 on page 16 of the translation filed February 28, 2007 containing 98 parts by weight of Polyamine F (the reaction product of meta-xylylenediamine and methyl methacrylate produced in Reference Example 6 on page 14) and only two parts by weight of hexadecylamine (different from the tested 8 parts by weight of octadecylamine). Furthermore, the evidence remains uncommensurate in scope with the claims including the myriad species of acyl group(s)-containing polyfunctional compounds (B) regarding claims 31 and 38 as well as new claims 59-68, and the further species of saturated monocarboxylic acids (C) with respect to claims 26, 34 and 48.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Sellers whose telephone number is (571) 272-1093. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9:30 to 6:00. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert Sellers
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1712